



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	_	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
3:4:4 , 35.0	01/29/82	Norman L. Colbry		

Stephen I. Miller 201 Tabor Road

Morris Plains, N. J. 07950

EXAMINER			
JHTurnipseed			
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
122	5		

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Mr. B. A. Amernick (3)	·				
(2)	·				
Date of interview November 5, 1982					
Type: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's repres	sentative).				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:					
					
Agreement 🖾 was reached With Perpeck DE NOTHE METALLIK OF THE METALLIK OF TH	not reached.				
Claims discussed: None					
Identification of prior art discussed: None					
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any of	other comments: Mr. Amernick was called				
page by the Examiner, who inquired if two copies of	2 of /the Office Action mailed on				
August 8, 1982, was received by his office. M	Ir. Amernick stated that there				
were 2 copies of the page in his file and he a	greed to have one of the copies				
delivered to the Examiner. A copy of page 2 c	of the Office Action was delivered				
to the Examiner on the afternoon of 11/05/82, (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable in the company of the amendments which would render the claims allowable in the company of the amendments which would render the claims allowable in the company of the amendments which would render the claims allowable in the company of the compa	which is greatly appreciated, examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be savailable, a summary thereof must be attached.				
Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., ite last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview	ms $1-7$ on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the				
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the in	terview.				
Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are n response requirements of the last Office action.	a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and ow allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the				
	• 2 2				

81-3679 PTOL-413 (rev. 1-81)